

Expedient Access to Unsymmetrical Diarylindolylmethanes through Palladium-Catalyzed Domino Electrophilic Cyclization—Extended Conjugate Addition Approach

Virsinha Reddy and Ramasamy Vijaya Anand*

Department of Chemical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, Sector 81, Knowledge City, S. A. S. Nagar, Manauli (PO), Punjab 140306, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A palladium-catalyzed domino process to access unsymmetrical diarylindolylmethanes has been developed through the annulation of *o*-alkynylanilines followed by 1,6conjugate addition with *p*-quinone methides (*p*-QMs) under relatively mild conditions. The broad substrate scope of this methodology was demonstrated through the use of a wide



range of substituted *o*-alkynylanilines and *p*-quinone methides, and in most cases, the unsymmetrical diarylindolylmethanes could be prepared in moderate to excellent yields. Notably, this method does not require any amino group protection. Moreover, 100% atom economy makes this transformation attractive from a green chemistry perspective.

T riarylmethanes are considered an attractive synthetic target in organic synthesis due to their significant contributions in the dye industry and medicinal chemistry.^{1,2} They have also found applications in materials science as fluorescent probes³ and photochromic agents.⁴ The symmetrical triarylmethanes, usually called leuco dyes, are rather easy targets and could be effectually accessed through a Brønsted⁵ or Lewis acid⁶ catalyzed Friedel– Crafts reaction of aromatic aldehydes or their derivatives with electron-rich arenes and heteroarenes. Nevertheless, the synthesis of unsymmetrical triarylmethanes, which possess various biological properties (Figure 1), still remains a relatively challenging task.



1 aromatase inhibitor (ref 2f) 2 anti-TB agent (ref 2c) 3 anti-inflammatory agent (ref 2a)

Figure 1. Unsymmetrical triarylmethane-based drugs.

The traditional approach toward unsymmetrical triarylmethanes involves a Friedel–Crafts reaction of electron-rich arenes with unsymmetrical diarylcarbinols or their derivatives under Brønsted or Lewis acid catalyzed conditions (approach 1, Scheme 1).^{1e,7} Recently, due to the broad substrate scope, the cross-coupling-based approach has become a unique method for the synthesis of triarylmethanes (approach 2, Scheme 1).

In line with an initial report by Molander's group,⁸ Kuwano and co-workers developed an approach to unsymmetrical triarylmethanes through Pd-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyura coupling of boronic acids with diarylmethyl carbonates.⁹ Another approach based on Pd-catalyzed direct arylation leading to triarymethanes was developed by the groups of Walsh,¹⁰

Scheme 1. Approaches toward Unsymmetrical Triarylmethanes



Oshima,¹¹ and Zhang¹² independently. Watson¹³ and Jarvo^{14a} reported Ni-catalyzed synthesis of enantioenriched triarylmethanes through coupling of diarylmethanol derivatives with arylboronic acids and boronic esters, respectively. Jarvo's group also developed Ni-catalyzed Kumada coupling of aryl Grignard reagents with diarylmethyl ethers to access enantiomerically enriched triarylmethanes.^{14b} Very recently, the group of Crudden and Nambo developed a protocol for the synthesis of unsymmetrical triarymethanes^{15a} and triarylacetonitriles^{15b} through Pd-catalyzed sequential arylation strategy. Crudden's group also developed a different approach involving enantiospecific Suzuki–Miyura coupling of enantiomerically pure dibenzylic boronic esters with aryl halides.¹⁶ Iron-catalyzed dehydrogenative coupling of diarylmethanes with electron-rich aromatic or heteroaromatic systems was also found to be effective for the synthesis of triarylmethane derivatives.¹⁷ Very recently, Hirano and Miyura reported a Pd-catalyzed C–H/C–O coupling of

 Received:
 April 9, 2015

 Published:
 July 9, 2015

Organic Letters

oxazoles with diarylmethanol derivatives leading to oxazole containing triarylmethanes.¹⁸

In recent years, metal-catalyzed one-pot annulation of *o*-alkynylanilines followed by trapping with suitable electrophiles has become an extremely useful protocol for the construction of 2,3-substituted indoles.¹⁹ Based on this concept, we believed that it is possible to access diarylindolylmethanes through metal-catalyzed annulation of *o*-alkynylanilines followed by trapping with *p*-quinone methides (*p*-QMs). The chemistry of *p*-quinone methides is well explored in organic synthesis and physical organic chemistry.^{20a-m} Recently, a few enantioselective transformations have also been reported using *p*-quinone methide as an electrophile.^{20n,o} However, to the best of our knowledge, the synthesis of unsymmetrical diarylindolylmethane derivatives²¹ through Pd-catalyzed annulation of *o*-alkynylanilines followed by 1,6-conjugate addition with *p*-quinone methides (approach 3, Scheme 1) is still unprecedented in the literature.

We commenced the optimization studies using readily available o-alkynylaniline 4 and p-quinone methide 5 using a diverse range of palladium catalysts under various reaction conditions (Table 1). Although our initial attempts with



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 0.04 M solution of **4** in solvent. Pd catalyst (5 mol %) was used. Use of 1.2 equiv of **5** was found to be optimal. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}2 mol % of PdCl₂ was used. rt = 27-30 °C.

palladium catalysts such as PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, Pd(PPh₃)₄, and $Pd_2(dba)_3$ in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) at room temperature did not give any fruitful results (entries 1-3, Table 1), extensive optimization experiments revealed that Pd(OAc)₂ and Pd- $(TFA)_2$ were found to be effective for this transformation at rt, although the yield of 6 was low to moderate (entries 4 and 5). When PdCl₂ was used as a catalyst in DCE at rt, the expected product 6 was obtained in 80% yield (entry 6) after 36 h. When the same reaction was carried out at 70 °C, 6 was isolated in almost quantitative yield (entry 7) in just 6 h. The structure of 6 was unambiguously confirmed by NMR as well as X-ray analysis. Further elaboration of optimization was carried out in other solvents at 70 °C. However, in all those cases (entries 8–10), the yield of 6 was found to be inferior when compared to entry 7. Lowering the catalyst loading (2 mol %) did not decrease the yield of the product considerably, but the reaction took a long time to complete (entry 11). No product was observed in the absence of the Pd catalyst (entry 12).

Having optimized conditions in hand (entry 7, Table 1), substrate scope was evaluated using a wide range of *p*-quinone methides $(7\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{r})$,²⁰ⁿ and the results are summarized in Scheme 2.





"Reaction conditions: 0.04 M solution of 4 in DCE. Yields reported are isolated yields.

It is evident from Scheme 2 that this methodology worked very well in the cases of *p*-quinone methides derived from electronrich (8a-f,m) as well as moderately electron poor (8g,h) aromatic aldehydes, and in all cases, the expected diarylindolylmethanes were obtained in excellent yields (>90%). In the case of *p*-quinone methide derived from a heteroaromatic aldehyde such as thiophene-2-carboxaldehyde, the product 8i was isolated in 79% yield. The *p*-quinone methides derived from 2-naphthaldehyde and 4-phenylbenzaldehyde underwent smooth conversion to their corresponding diarylindolylmethane derivatives 8k and 81 in 96 and 99% yields, respectively. This transformation was also found to be effective for the synthesis of ferrocene (8j), 2fluorene (80), and 4-(2-phenylethynyl)phenyl (8n) substituted triarylmethane derivatives from their corresponding p-quinone methides. Unfortunately, the diarylindolylmethane derivative 8p was not formed in the case of *p*-quinone methide prepared from 4-nitrobenzaldehyde even after 24 h. Other p-QMs derived from 2,6-disubstituted phenols such as 2,6-diisopropylphenol (7q)and 2,6-dimethylphenol (7r) also underwent smooth transformation to their corresponding diarylindolyl derivatives 8q and 8r in 92 and 89% yields, respectively.

The scope of this methodology was also extended by treating 5 with a wide range of *o*-alkynylanilines (9a-p) under the optimized reaction conditions, and the results are summarized in Scheme 3. Irrespective of the electronic nature of the

Scheme 3. Substrate Scope with Different Indole Precursors^a



"Reaction conditions: 0.04 M solution of 9 in DCE. Yields reported are isolated yields.

substituents present in the alkyne moiety, the o-alkynylanilines (derived from electron-rich as well as electron-deficient arylalkynes) underwent smooth transformation to their corresponding products (10a-f,i,j) in moderate to excellent yields. The yields of the product 10g and 10h were moderate in the cases of indole precursors derived from 4-bromophenylacetylene and 4-phenylphenylacetylene. The indole precursor prepared from 3-ethynylthiophene was also converted to its corresponding diarylindolylmethane 10k in excellent yield. The reaction worked pretty well in the cases of indole precursors (91 and 9m) derived from ethynylcyclopropane and ethynylcyclopentane, and the products 10l and 10m were obtained in 95 and 82% yields, respectively. We could also synthesize a few other diarylindolylmethanes (10n-p) in reasonable yields from *p*-quinone methides derived from o-alkynylanilines (9n-p) having substituents in the aniline ring.

At this stage, our attention was shifted to elucidate a reasonable mechanism of this transformation. Initially, we believed that the reaction proceeds via 2-substituted indole derivative (through aminopalladation step), which then adds to *p*-QM in 1,6-fashion to generate the diarylindolylmethane derivative. To get a better understanding, a couple of control experiments were performed in which 2-phenylindole (1 equiv) was treated with 5 (1.2 equiv) in the presence or absence Pd catalyst at 70 °C in DCE (please see the Supporting Information for the scheme). In the case of reaction with Pd catalyst, the product 6 was obtained in quantitative yield within 1 h. Unexpectedly, even in the case of the reaction without Pd catalyst, 6 was obtained in 90% yield, although the reaction time was approximately 6 times more than that of the Pd-catalyzed reaction. Therefore, it is obvious that Pd catalyst does help in accelerating the reaction. In the case of reaction without Pd catalyst, we presume that the traces of HCl present in DCE are responsible to effect this transformation by activating the *p*-QM through hydrogen bonding. To confirm the participation of HCl in the reaction, another experiment was conducted where 2-phenylindole was treated with 5 in toluene instead of DCE at 70 °C, but in this case, the product 6 was observed only in trace quantities after 6 h. But, interestingly, when one drop of 1 N aqueous HCl was added, the reaction was completed in 1 h, and 6 was obtained in 71% isolated yield. These experimental observations clearly suggest that HCl is playing important role along with the Pd-catalyst in the 1,6-conjugate addition step to generate the final product. It is also evident that the reaction proceeds through 2-substituted indole intermediate.

On the basis of the above experiments and observations, a plausible mechanism of this transformation has been proposed, which can be found in the Supporting Information.

Notably, we observed that careful monitoring of the reaction between 4 and 5 under standard conditions revealed that the amine addition product 11 was also formed in considerable amounts (Scheme 4), but interestingly, the formation of 11 was



found to be reversible. TLC analysis of the reaction mixture indicated that the concentration of **11** was gradually decreasing, and at the same time, the concentration of **6** was steadily increasing during the course of the reaction. Although **11** was unstable under acidic conditions, we could isolate some amounts of **11** by purification through neutral alumina column. The amine addition product **11** was also characterized by spectral techniques. To confirm the reversible nature of this reaction, in an independent experiment, **11** was treated with PdCl₂ under standard conditions, and as expected, it was completely converted in to **6** in 2.5 h (Scheme 4).

In conclusion, an efficient one-pot protocol for the synthesis of heavily substituted unsymmetrical diarylindolylmethane derivatives has been developed through Pd-catalyzed annulation of *o*alkynylanilines followed by extended conjugate addition to *p*quinone methides. Broad substrate scope and 100% atom economy are the key features of this methodology. Unlike most of the reported methods for the synthesis of 2,3-substituted indole derivatives, this protocol does not require any protection of the amino group of *o*-alkynylanilines. An enantioselective version of this methodology is currently under investigation.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

General experimental procedures and characterization data of the products. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/ acs.orglett.5b01030.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: rvijayan@iisermohali.ac.in.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge IISER Mohali for financial support and infrastructure. V.R. thanks the CSIR, New Delhi, for a research fellowship. We also thank Mr. Hareram Yadav (IISER Mohali) for his help in solving the crystal structure. The NMR and HRMS facilities at IISER Mohali are gratefully acknowledged.

Organic Letters

REFERENCES

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Duxbury, D. F. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 381.
 (b) Muthyala, R.; Katritzky, A. R.; Lan, X. F. Dyes Pigm. 1994, 25, 303.
 (c) Shchepinov, M. S.; Korshun, V. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 170.
 (d) Nair, V.; Thomas, S.; Mathew, S. C.; Abhilash, K. G. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 6731. (e) Mondal, S.; Panda, G. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 28317.

(2) (a) Whitehead, C. W.; Whitesitt, C. A. J. Med. Chem. 1974, 17, 1298. (b) Wang, P.; Kozlowski, J.; Cushman, M. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3861. (c) Recanatini, M.; Cavalli, A.; Valenti, P. Med. Res. Rev. 2002, 22, 282. (d) Mibu, N.; Yokomizo, K.; Uyeda, M.; Sumoto, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2003, 51, 1325. (e) Palchaudhuri, R.; Nesterenko, V.; Hergenrother, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10274. (f) Parai, M. K.; Panda, G.; Chaturvedi, V.; Manju, Y. K.; Sinha, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 289.

(3) For reviews, see: (a) Kim, H. N.; Lee, M. H.; Kim, H. J.; Kim, J. S.; Yoon, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, 37, 1465. (b) Beija, M.; Afonso, C. A. M.; Martinho, J. M. G. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 2410.

(4) Irie, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2078.

(5) For selected examples, see: (a) Shirakawa, S.; Kobayashi, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4939. (b) Kumar, S.; Malik, V.; Kaur, N.; Kaur, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8483. (c) He, Q. L.; Sun, F. L.; Zheng, X. J.; You, S. L. Synlett 2009, 1111. (d) Wilsdorf, M.; Leichnitz, D.; Reissig, H. U. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2494.

(6) For selected reports, see: (a) Yu, L.; Chen, D.; Li, J.; Wang, P. G. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3575. (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Murthy, C. V. S. R.; Kumar, G. M.; Madan, C. Synthesis 2001, 783. (c) Nagarajan, R.; Perumal, P. T. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 1229. (d) Ji, S.-J.; Zhou, M.-F.; Gu, D.-G.; Jiang, Z.-Q.; Loh, T.-P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 1584. (e) Nair, V.; Abhilash, K. G.; Vidya, N. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5857. (f) Podder, S.; Choudhury, J.; Roy, U. K.; Roy, S. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3100. (g) Periasamy, M.; Kishorebabu, N.; Jayakumar, K. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1955. (h) Liu, C. R.; Li, M. B.; Yang, C. F.; Tian, S. K. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1249. (i) Prakash, G. K. S.; Panja, C.; Shakhmin, A.; Shah, E.; Mathew, T.; Olah, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 8659. (j) Dhiman, S.; Ramasastry, S. S. V. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 8030. (k) Beltrá, J.; Gimeno, M. C.; Herrera, R. P. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 2206.

(7) (a) Esquivias, J.; Gómez Arrayás, R.; Carretero, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 629. (b) Thirupathi, P.; Kim, S. S. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 2995. (c) Rueping, M.; Nachtsheim, B. J. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2010, 6. (d) Hikawa, H.; Suzuki, H.; Yokoyama, Y.; Azumaya, I. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 6714. (e) Singh, P.; Dinda, S. K.; Shagufta; Panda, G. RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 12100.

(8) Molander, G. A.; Elia, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9198.

(9) Yu, J.-Y.; Kuwano, R. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 973.

(10) (a) McGrew, G. I.; Temaismithi, J.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5541. (b) Zhang, J.; Bellomo, A.; Creamer, A. D.; Dreher, S. D.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13765. (c) Bellomo, A.; Zhang, J.; Trongsiriwat, N.; Walsh, P. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 849. (d) Zhang, J.; Bellomo, A.; Trongsiriwat, N.; Jia, T.; Carroll, P. J.; Dreher, S. D.; Tudge, M. T.; Yin, H.; Robinson, J. R.; Schelter, E. J.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6276.

(11) Niwa, T.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2373.

(12) Xia, Y.; Hu, F.; Liu, Z.; Qu, P.; Ge, R.; Ma, C.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Org. Lett. **2013**, *15*, 1784.

(13) Zhou, Q.; Srinivas, H. D.; Dasgupta, S.; Watson, M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3307.

(14) (a) Taylor, B. L. H.; Harris, M. R.; Jarvo, E. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 7790. (b) Harris, M. R.; Hanna, L. E.; Greene, M. A.; Moore, C. E.; Jarvo, E. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *135*, 3303.

(15) (a) Nambo, M.; Crudden, C. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 742. (b) Nambo, M.; Yar, M.; Smith, J. D.; Crudden, C. M. Org. Lett. **2015**, 17, 50.

(16) Matthew, S. C.; Glasspoole, B. W.; Eisenberger, P.; Crudden, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 5828.

(17) (a) Li, Y.-Z.; Li, B.-J.; Lu, X.-Y.; Lin, S.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 3817. (b) Guo, S.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Guo, X.; Meng, X.; Chen, B. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2015**, 357, 950.

Letter

(18) Tabuchi, S.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 5401.

(19) (a) Chen, Y.; Cho, C.-H.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 173. (b) Hiroya, K.; Itoh, S.; Sakamoto, T. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1126. (c) Alfonsi, M.; Arcadi, A.; Aschi, M.; Bianchi, G.; Marinelli, F. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2265. (d) Ambrogio, I.; Arcadi, A.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Marinelli, F. Synlett 2007, 1775. (e) Guo, Y.-J.; Tang, R.-Y.; Li, J.-H.; Zhong, P.; Zhang, X.-G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2615. (f) Oh, C. H.; Karmakar, S.; Park, H.; Ahn, Y.; Kim, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1792. (g) Swamy, N. K.; Yazici, A.; Pyne, S. G. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3412. (h) Brand, J. P.; Chevalley, C.; Waser, J. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 565. (i) Gabriele, B.; Veltri, L.; Mancuso, R.; Salerno, G.; Costa, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2549. (j) Xu, C.; Murugan, V. K.; Pullarkat, S. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3875. (k) Wang, Q.; Huang, L.; Wu, X.; Jiang, H. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5940. (1) Arcadi, A.; Pietropaolo, E.; Alvino, A.; Michelet, V. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2766. (m) Janreddy, D.; Kavala, V.; Kuo, C.-W.; Kuo, T.-S.; He, C.-H.; Yao, C.-F. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 3323. (n) Hu, Z.; Luo, S.; Zhu, Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 1060. (20) (a) Turner, A. B. Q. Rev., Chem. Soc. 1964, 18, 347. (b) Hart, D. J.; Cain, P. A.; Evans, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1548. (c) Angle, S. R.; Turnbull, K. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1136. (d) Peter, M. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 555. (e) Angle, S. R.; Arnaiz, D. O. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3708. (f) Angle, S. R.; Arnaiz, D. O. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5937. (g) Baik; Joo Lee, H.; Koo, S.; Kim, B. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8125. (h) Baik; Lee, H. J.; Jang, J. M.; Koo, S.; Kim, B. H. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 108. (i) Itoh, T. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2001, 26, 1019. (j) Breugst, M.; Mayr, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15380. (k) Toteva, M. M.; Richard, J. P. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 2011, 45, 39. (1) Nigst, T. A.; Ammer, J.; Mayr, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1353. (m) Breugst, M.; Corral Bautista, F.; Mayr, H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 127. (n) Chu, W.-D.; Zhang, L.-F.; Bao, X.; Zhao, X.-H.; Zeng, C.; Du, J.-Y.; Zhang, G.-B.; Wang, F.-X.; Ma, X.-Y.; Fan, C.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9229. (o) Caruana, L.; Kniep, F.; Johansen, T. K.; Poulsen, P. H.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15929. For selected reviews on o-quinone methides, see: (p) Amouri, H.; Le Bras, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 501. (q) Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9210. (r) Willis, N. J.; Bray, C. D. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18.9160.

(21) For recent reports on Brønsted acid catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of diarylindolylmethanes, see: (a) Sun, F. L.; Zheng, X. J.; Gu, Q.; He, Q. L.; You, S. L. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010, 47. (b) Zhuo, M. H.; Jiang, Y. J.; Fan, Y. S.; Gao, Y.; Liu, S.; Zhang, S. *Org. Lett.* 2014, *16*, 1096. (c) Qi, S.; Liu, Y.; Ding, J. Y.; Han, F. S. *Chem. Commun.* 2014, *50*, 8605. (d) Saha, S.; Alamsetti, S. K.; Schneider, C. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 1461. (e) Wang, Z.; Ai, F.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, W.; Zhu, G.; Lin, Z.; Sun, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, *137*, 383.